Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,476	FUJITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanhha Pham	2813
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Thanhha Pham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Soltz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 17-19 and 24 Prior art documents discussed: Allen et al [US 6,420,441] and Lukas et al [US 2004/017595] Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Discussing amendment to independent claim 17 by incoporal language to clarify more scope of claimed invention (see Exception)	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	m 17 and suggesting claimed
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)